

Appln. No. 20/539,558
Amendment dated March 15th 2010

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement of 4th of August 2009, Applicant hereby files an amendment of the claims by narrowing down the scope of the claims in line with the examiners findings. Applicant has chosen to narrow down the scope of the claims to that of treating in the main part a gastrointestinal disease.

In addition Applicant would like to bring to the examiners attention that at no time on record has the applicant withdrawn or forgone on claims 118 to 121 inclusive and the applicant asks to include these amended claims including the dependent new claim of 126 in the examination process.

Applicant will respond to the issue of double patenting terminal disclaimer in due course and after the applicant has appointed a patent attorney to represent him before the USPTO.

Applicant places his argument to the rejection under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) and 35 U.S.C 102 (c) in that neither GALLIO (US 2006/0292551 A1) nor HANCOCK (US 6,288,212 B1) refer to gastrointestinal disease.

Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C 102 (b), the applicant withdraws claim 123.

Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C 101, the applicant amends claim 114 accordingly.

Applicant intends to file divisional applications in due course.

Respectfully submitted,



Yitzchak Hillman